

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,669	12/01/2003	David Meiri	EMS-07001	2399
7590 09/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Patent Group			MIZRAHI, DIANE D	
Choate, Hall & Stewart Exchange Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53 State Street			2165	
Boston, MA (02109-2804		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X						
f	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<i>'</i>	10/724,669	MEIRI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DIANE D. MIZRA	ιHI 2165				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cove	sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this i - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe communication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory mir m statutory period will apply and will expire reply will, by statute, cause the application to ths after the mailing date of this communication.	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in tl	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			,			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 Decen</u>	<u>nber 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepte	d or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	f:					
 Certified copies of the prior 	rity documents have been rece	ived.				
2. Certified copies of the prio	-					
3. Copies of the certified cop			al Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office a	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	• • •				
oce the attached detailed office a	shorr for a list of the contined of	pios not rosolvou.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 	(Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-17-04</u> .		Other:	· - ·/			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 20050711			

Application/Control Number: 10/724,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. as follows: there is missing information such as application number and filing date for the following (see specification):

Page 27, line 20 to Page 28, lines 1-2. The specification should be completely checked for missing information and corrected. Appropriate action is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding Claim 1, (line 1) Applicant's preamble should read "A computer-implement method of ordering data writes". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2165

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, directed towards an abstract idea.

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Claims 11-20 represent an abstract idea that do not provide a practical application in the technological arts. There is no manipulation of data nor is there any transformation of data from one state to another state being performed in "Computer software that orders data writes to a group of primary storage devices". Actually, no post-computer process activity is found in the technological arts. "Computer software that orders data

Art Unit: 2165

writes to a group of primary storage devices" is not a physical transformation. Thus, no physical transformation is performed, no practical application is found. Also, the claims do not appear to correspond to a specific machine or manufacture disclosed within the specification and thus encompass any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process. Consequently, the claims are analyzed based upon the underlying process, and are thus rejected as being directed.

Examiner's Remarks

Examiner requires that Applicant include "a computer-readable" in Applicant's preamble of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2165

Examiner is unclear as to what Applicant meant by "causing a cycle switch"; ergo, "causing a cycle switch" to do what?

Examiner could not determine in Applicant's specification what Applicant intended with the claimed, "causing the cycle switch". Further clarification and explanation is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biessener et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0023199 Al and Biessener hereinafter) in view of Crockett et al. (U.S. Patent# 6,301,643 and Crockett hereinafter).

As to claims 1 and 11, Biessener teaches a method of ordering data writes [0046], comprising: at least some of a of receiving a first plurality of data writes [0040] and [0046]; causing a cycle switch for the .. wherein the first plurality

Application/Control Number: 10/724,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 2165

of data writes are associated with a particular cycle on each [0040] and [0046]; and at least some of the receiving a second plurality of writes after initiating [0049] the cycle switch (col 7) wherein all of the second plurality of writes are associated with a cycle different from the particular cycle [0008-0009].

Biessener does not disclose primary storage devices.

Crockett discloses primary storage devices (col 2, lines 15-22).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Cochrane with the teachings of Biessener to include primary storage devices with the motivation to allow for the user to insure that all writes are consistent as of a specified time (Crockett, col 2, lines 55-58) and to insure data integrity (Crockett, col 1, line 55).

Comments

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the

corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, Applicant's response must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CRF 1.111(b) and MPEP section 707.07(a).

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office

Application/Control Number: 10/724,669 Page 8

Art Unit: 2165

of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

September 20, 2005